



Review

Managing and preventing vascular catheter infections: A position paper of the international society for infectious diseases



Larry Lutwick^{a,*}, Amal Saif Al-Maani^b, Shaheen Mehtar^c, Ziad Memish^d, Victor Daniel Rosenthal^e, Angela Dramowski^c, Grace Lui^f, Tamer Osman^g, Andre Bulabula^h, Gonzalo Bearmanⁱ

^a Mayo Clinic Health Care System, Eau Claire, WI, USA

^b Ministry of Health, Oman

^c University of Stellenbosch, Cape Town, South Africa

^d Prince Mohammed bin Abdulaziz Hospital, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia

^e Infection Control Consortium (INICC), Buenos Aires, Argentina

^f The Chinese University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong, China

^g US Naval Medical Research, Egypt

^h Stellenbosch University, Cape Town, South Africa

ⁱ VCU Medical Center, Richmond, VA, USA

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 10 April 2019

Accepted 11 April 2019

Corresponding Editor: Eskild Petersen, Aarhus, Denmark

Keywords:

CLABSI

Vascular catheter infection

Infection prevention

Position paper

ABSTRACT

A panel of experts was convened by the International Society for Infectious Diseases (ISID) to overview recommendations on managing and preventing vascular catheter infections, specifically for the prevention and management of central line-associated bloodstream infections. These recommendations are intended to provide insight for healthcare professionals regarding the prevention of infection in the placement and maintenance of the catheter and diagnosis as well as treatment of catheter infection. Aspects of this area in pediatrics and in limited-resource situations and a discussion regarding the selection of empiric or targeted antimicrobial therapy are particular strengths of this position paper. © 2019 The Author(s). Published by Elsevier Ltd on behalf of International Society for Infectious Diseases. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>).

Contents

Introduction	22
Known facts – prevention	23
Insertion bundle	23
Catheter maintenance bundle	23
Open vs closed intravenous infusion systems	24
Management of the CLABSI (suggested practice)	24
CLABSIs in pediatrics	25
Limited-Resource settings	25
Conflicts of interest	27
Funding sources	27
Ethical approval	27
References	27

Introduction

Central lines are essential vascular access devices used in critically-ill patients in many medical settings. Central line-associated bloodstream infections (CLABSIs) are common

* Corresponding author at: Infectious Diseases Division, Mayo Clinic Health Care System, 1221 Whipple Street, Eau Claire, WI 54703 USA.
E-mail address: Lutwick.larry@mayo.edu (L. Lutwick).

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijid.2019.04.014>

1201-9712/© 2019 The Author(s). Published by Elsevier Ltd on behalf of International Society for Infectious Diseases. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>).

complications encountered with their use, with up to 60% of all hospital-acquired bacteremias/fungemias occurring with a vascular access device (Crnich and Maki, 2001). CLABSIs substantially increase morbidity, mortality, length of hospital stay, and increased hospital costs, both in developed and resource-limited countries (Al-Abdely et al., 2017; Devrim et al., 2016; Ziegler et al., 2014; Tarricone et al., 2010; Higuera et al., 2007; Rosenthal et al., 2003). The CLABSI rates in resource-limited countries are 3–5 times higher than that encountered in high-income settings (Al-Abdely et al., 2017) and 75% of the world's population live in low-to-middle income countries (LMICs) (Alp and Rello, 2019). Established in 1998, the International Nosocomial Infection Control Consortium (INICC) is one group which has used surveillance and applied research to promote appropriate practices to decrease this rate (Rosenthal, 2016). This paper summarizes the key recommendations for CLABSI control and prevention by the International Society for Infectious Diseases.

Known facts - prevention

Many guidelines for decreasing CLABSI rates recommend use of “care bundles” (Marwick and Davey, 2009; Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality, 2018), to simplify and enable the reliable application of 4–6 evidence-based best practices simultaneously, to achieve better outcomes than when implemented individually. Care bundles for device-associated infections e.g. CLABSI bundles, are widely-adopted and effective infection prevention strategies in high-income countries and some low-resource settings.

Compliance with bundle elements should be easily and objectively measureable (e.g. ‘yes/no’ or ‘completed/not completed’), allowing for tracking of bundle compliance rates. Infection rate trends can be followed using run-charts. CLABSI bundles have been created for both the insertion and maintenance of central lines. Many CLABSI events are attributed to breaches in catheter maintenance, rather than insertion which, because it remains longer in situ than a peripheral line, accounts for most of the cases of intravascular catheter bacteremia. These bundles are summarized here (Ling et al., 2016; Bell and O’Grady, 2017; Han et al., 2010):

Insertion bundle

1. Site/Catheter Selection

Optimal site selection will depend on catheter types and expected duration of use with avoidance of placement in the femoral vein, except for hemodialysis and in some pediatric patients. The type of device and placement site selection are influenced by the training and experience levels of the clinician inserting the device. Ultrasound guidance should be used when available. The hub number on the catheter should be kept to the minimum essential for management, as every additional hub increases the risk for CLABSI development. All components of the system should be compatible to minimize leakages. For needleless systems, a split septum valve may be preferable to a mechanical valve.

Peripherally inserted central catheters (PICC lines) seem more vulnerable to thrombosis and dislodgement than central venous catheters placed in the internal jugular or subclavian veins. Importantly, in patients with renal injury who may require hemodialysis, preservation of upper extremity veins for future dialysis access is advisable so use of PICC lines needs to be prudent in these patients.

The use of a midline catheter (placed in proximal veins such as the brachial or cephalic with the tip in the axillary vein) is associated with a lower complication risk of pneumothorax and thrombosis and lower CLABSI rates in some reports.

1. Hand Hygiene

Hand hygiene is vital before and after palpating the insertion site and before and after all interactions in the placement and maintenance sequences. Hand hygiene can be performed with an alcohol-based hand rub or antiseptic soap with water. Optimal asepsis dictates the use of sterile gloves with placement. The wearing of gloves does not obviate the need for hand hygiene.

1. Skin Preparation

Skin prep is generally done with the use of a 0.5–2% chlorhexidine/70% isopropyl alcohol solution, with alternatives such as iodophors (povidone-iodine) or alcohol alone in patients with known hypersensitivity to chlorhexidine gluconate. The antiseptic should be allowed to dry before catheter insertion.

1. Barrier Precautions

Barrier precautions include the use of sterile gowns and gloves, a surgical mask and cap/hair net as well as a full body sterile drape over the patient (akin to drapes utilized in an operating theater).

All aspects of the insertion bundle are likely essential in preventing CLABSIs. Insertion in the femoral area, not using a full body drape, not using all components of the maximal sterile barrier precautions and not performing all components of the bundle, have been identified as factors associated with an increased risk of CLABSI (Lee et al., 2018).

Catheter maintenance bundle

1. Review of Need and Replacement

The need for the line should be reviewed daily since risk of CLABSI development increases over time. If the catheter was placed as an emergency intervention, it should be replaced as soon as possible. Fever alone is not an indication for catheter removal and replacement. However, if CLABSI is clinically suspected in a non-tunneled device, the device should not simply be replaced over a guidewire, but removed, with a new catheter inserted at a different site.

1. Hand Hygiene

This should be performed before and after accessing, repairing or dressing the catheter to maintain aseptic technique at all times. This includes wearing sterile or at least clean gloves when changing dressings.

1. Hub/Access Device Disinfection

Catheter hubs, connectors, and injection ports should be disinfected with alcoholic chlorhexidine, 70% alcohol solution or an iodophor while applying mechanical friction before access. All needleless parts of the system should be changed when the administration set is changed or based on manufacturers’ recommendations. There is no benefit in changing more often than 72 h. Access ports should be treated with an antiseptic prior to any access (Marschall et al., 2014). Disinfectant cap protectors may also have a role but require further study (Jimenez et al., 2015).

1. Dressing Changes

A sterile transparent and semipermeable dressing is preferred over sterile gauze. Sterile gauze can be used if the patient is diaphoretic or the site is actively bleeding or oozing. The dressing

should be replaced if it becomes damp, loosened or visibly soiled or every 7 days for non-tunneled catheters (Marschall et al., 2014). The use of a chlorhexidine-impregnated dressing, if available, may decrease the risk of infection as compared to non-impregnated dressings, through reduction of bacterial colonization of the skin at the point of catheter insertion. In settings with high CLABSI rates, chlorhexidine-impregnated sponge dressings may be used as an additional intervention.

Topical antimicrobial ointments or creams are generally not used except for hemo-dialysis catheters where they may have a role in minimization of fungal infections and antimicrobial resistant infections.

Patients should be encouraged to report any changes to the catheter insertion site or any discomfort as soon as possible.

Additional Measures (mainly in case of sustained high CLABSI rates or during an outbreak):

1. Daily chlorhexidine bathing has been demonstrated to reduce CLABSI rates among adult and children in intensive care units (Frost et al., 2016; Dicks et al., 2016). Huang et al. (2019), despite a lack of overall protective effect in non-ICU patients, found reductions in MRSA and VRE infections in patients with medical devices.
2. Antibacterial impregnated catheters (minocycline/rifampin or chlorhexidine/silver sulfadiazine) can be used as an additional intervention in units with high CLABSI rates or in patients where a prolonged catheter dwell time is anticipated.
3. A prophylactic antimicrobial (e.g. vancomycin) or antiseptic lock solution (e.g. ethanol or taurolidine-citrate) can be considered in patients with long-term catheters (such as hemodialysis) or in patients with a history of recurrent CLABSI.

Variability in application of CLABSI prevention bundles, compliance assessment and degree of stakeholder involvement, all likely result in suboptimal clinical outcomes. Such variability may explain issues related to suboptimal clinical impact of the guidelines and their implementation (Blanco-Mavillard et al., 2018).

Open vs closed intravenous infusion systems

The impact of the infusion system on CLABSI rates has been studied by comparing open and closed intravenous systems. It has been widely accepted that open systems may increase the risk of contamination and administration-related CLABSI, because of microbial entry into the system through air entry (Maki et al., 2011). As reported in a recent systematic review (Perin et al., 2016), by way of illustration, the rate of CLABSI was 35.3% greater among patients who received compounded parenteral nutrition (PN) through an open system in comparison to those who received PN through a closed system.

In a randomized clinical trial comparing rates of CLABSI between patients using an open system (three-way stopcocks) and standard flushing, and patients using a closed system (pre-pierced septa) and single-use prefilled flushing devices, it was shown that closed systems had significantly lower rates of CLABSI (2.21 per 1000 CL-days vs. 6.40 per 1000 CL-days, 95% CI 0.16–0.76, $p=0.006$) and was cost-effective (Rosenthal et al., 2015). However, open infusion containers and systems, such as three-way stopcocks, continue to be widely used in limited-resource settings.

Management of the CLABSI (suggested practice)

In a patient with a suspected CLABSI, the healthcare professional is usually presented with a febrile individual without focal signs or symptoms suggesting systemic or device-associated

infection. In the case of *Staphylococcus aureus* (*S. aureus*) infection (either methicillin sensitive or resistant), secondary metastatic infection can be found in any organ. Fever without a focus is a clue that should prompt investigation for CLABSI. Blood cultures should be obtained in parallel from the central line and from a peripheral site. A shorter time to positivity (or a higher bacterial load on quantitative blood cultures) from the central source can be a hint that the line is the primary source but it is not particularly sensitive (Bouzidi et al., 2018).

The most common organisms causing CLABSI are biofilm-producing Gram positive cocci including *S. aureus* and coagulase negative staphylococci (CNS). Most CNS-associated CLABSI events present with a milder or more indolent course, however *S. lugdunensis* infections manifest with more prominent symptomatology, behaving clinically similar to *S. aureus*-associated CLABSI.

While cultures are pending, vancomycin is an appropriate antimicrobial to use empirically until identification and antimicrobial sensitivities are available. In countries without high rates of MRSA, an anti-staphylococcal beta-lactam antimicrobial could be the first option. However, in severe illness, neutropenic or otherwise immunocompromised patients and those with a femoral catheter, additional empiric coverage for Gram-negative bacilli is reasonable. Agents such as an extended spectrum penicillin (such as piperacillin/tazobactam), a cephalosporin (such as cefepime) or a carbapenem (such as meropenem) may be appropriate, based on prevailing institutional antimicrobial resistance patterns. Other organisms associated with CLABSIs include fungi (yeasts), especially *Candida* species including the more resistant *C. auris*, and some of the more indolent Gram-positive organisms such as “diphtheroids”, primarily *Corynebacterium* species and *Cutibacterium* (formerly *Propionibacterium*) *acnes*.

The decision to begin antimicrobials before cultures are positive should be based on clinical judgement and illness severity. Fever in a patient with a central vascular catheter does not necessarily mean that the etiology of the pyrexia is a CLABSI. Numerous other diagnoses, infectious or non-infectious, may be the source of the fever. Absence of fever does not rule out CLABSI, or any other infection for that matter, as especially in debilitated, elderly or with renal injury, a febrile response may not occur. When blood cultures are positive without another identified source for a likely organism, CLABSI is likely the diagnosis. Catheters are often not removed prior to laboratory-confirmation of CLABSI, unless the catheter is no longer required.

Once the blood cultures are positive, especially in the absence of an identified focus, all peripheral venous or arterial catheters, midline catheters and short term nontunneled central venous catheter should ideally be removed (Han et al., 2010). In the case of long-term catheters such as PICC lines, tunneled central lines and implantable devices, explantation is done in most instances. The approach to removal of a central catheter in a patient with bacteremia and a clear alternative focus should be individualized based on the organism and clinical circumstances. Antimicrobial locks as a catheter salvage strategy are unlikely to be effective but may be considered under certain conditions for salvage (Mermel et al., 2009). Certainly, any persistent bacteremia despite appropriate antimicrobials should be managed with antimicrobials and prompt catheter removal.

The length of antimicrobial therapy after catheter removal is often 7–14 days but varies with the pathogen (longer for Gram-negative and fungal infections) and the degree of illness. Some microbes are more likely to cause secondary metastatic infections, especially *S. aureus*, and the clinician should be aware of this possibility. Certainly, if a cardiac valve infection (endocarditis) or a bone infection (osteomyelitis) develops as a secondary manifestation of the CLABSI, more prolonged antimicrobial therapy (4–6 weeks) is required. Classically, endocarditis and osteomyelitis have

been treated with parenterally administered antimicrobials but recent data suggests that oral treatment can substitute for some of the course (Iversen et al., 2019; Li et al., 2019) in defined patient groups. This approach, as it becomes more validated (Boucher, 2019) will, like effective antimicrobial stewardship programs that facilitate intravenous to oral therapy switches, decrease the time that the central line is present and therefore will decrease the risk of CLABSI.

CLABSIs in pediatrics

CLABSI rates in children and neonates are a challenge and have been reported to be higher than in the adults (The Joint Commission, 2016; Leighton et al., 2012). In a review, neonatal intensive care unit (NICU) CLABSI rates ranged from 2.6 to 60 cases per 1000 central line days in limited-resource countries in comparison with 2.9 cases per 1000 central line days in the USA (Rosenthal, 2009). As a host, the newborn infant, and especially premature newborn, is more susceptible to bloodstream infections (BSIs) because of poor skin integrity and an immature immune system. Their care usually involves a long hospital stay with repeated invasive procedures, exposure to many caregivers, and being in an environment prone to microbial colonization. Neonatal outcomes have been affected by health care-associated infections including CLABSIs. Indeed, the risk of neurodevelopmental impairment especially in very low birthweight infants significantly increases with one or more episodes of infection (Stoll et al., 2004). The mortality related to bloodstream infections has been reported to be 21%. In neonates, they lead to increase in length of stay by 23

days and substantial excess costs (Helder et al., 2013; Verstraete et al., 2014; Payne et al., 2018).

Unlike in the adult ICU, implementations of care bundles in pediatric and neonatal intensive care units have had inconsistent outcomes in reducing CLABSIs (Wirtschafter et al., 2010). Additionally, studies in neonates have failed to demonstrate a significant difference in CLABSI risk between catheter types used mostly in the NICU (peripherally inserted, umbilical and femoral) (Dubbink-Verheij et al., 2017). Studies have also shown that it is possible to reduce neonatal CLABSI rates with hospital-based interventions (Bizzarro et al., 2010; Pronovost, 2008). A recent meta-analysis which included observational and case control studies from different settings but no randomized control trials has revealed a statistically significant reduction in CLABSIs rate (by 60%) following the introduction of care bundles (rate ratio=0.40 (CI 0.31 to 0.51), $p < 0.00001$) although it is not clear which bundle elements are effective in specific settings (Wirtschafter et al., 2010). Some of the evidence-based interventions to reduce CLABSIs in pediatric and neonatal units are summarized in Table 1.

Limited-Resource settings

A 2016 report of responses from 95 both high and middle incomes countries showed a poor adherence to CLABSI prevention guidelines is a universal observation (Valencia et al., 2016). In resource-rich countries, risk reduction bundles are more readily accepted and implemented, with proven efficacy in CLABSI rate reduction. In resource limited settings, however, the CLABSI bundle uptake, implementation, and program sustainability are major

Table 1
Neonatal CLABSI Recommended Preventive Measures.

Category	Suggested intervention
Administrative	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Providing hand hygiene facilities in the unit and monitor compliance • Provide CVL insertion and maintenance kits • Increase staff/patient ratio • Dedicated central line team • Training and monitoring staff competency in infection control practices and line insertion and maintenance skills. • Continuous monitoring of CLABSIs as quality indicator with periodic feedback • Case root analysis for CLABSI events
Policies and Guidelines	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • CVL necessity, insertion, removal and daily line care checklists • Guidelines for the enteral and parental feeding of VLBW infants (support breast feeding, duration of TPN and intravenous fluid and medication) • Antimicrobial stewardship
Risk Assessment based intervention	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Assess for prematurity, intraabdominal pathology, mucosal barrier injury, invasive procedures • Colonization and/or infection with multidrug resistant pathogen
Implement Standard Infection Prevention Techniques	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Perform hand hygiene with an approved alcohol-based product or antiseptic-containing soap before and after accessing a catheter or changing the dressing • Maintain aseptic technique during catheter insertion, changing intravenous tubing and when entering the catheter including 'scrub the hub' • Non-sterile gloves for routine handling of babies <1000 g
Skin prep for neonates	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Age less than 2 months : use povidone –iodine with 2 minutes dry time • Age more than 2 months: use 2% chlorhexidine gluconate/70% isopropyl alcohol scrub
Site selection	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • For catheter insertion in children the upper or lower extremities (or the scalp in neonates or young infants) can be used
Dwell time	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No cut-off duration beyond which PICC should be removed electively. • Early UVC removal and replacement by PICC before day 4 might be considered
Other risk reduction measures	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Antimicrobial PICCs may reduce CLABSI, especially in high-risk subgroups • Heparin in TPN (0.5 Units/mL) • Fluconazole prophylaxis for babies <1000 g • Minimize the use of H2 receptor blocker and proton pump inhibitor • Minimize the use of broad-spectrum antimicrobials

(UVC)Umbilical venous catheter, (PICC) Peripherally inserted central catheter, (VLBW) very low birth weight, (CVL) Central Venous line. (Bizzarro et al., 2010; Pronovost, 2008; Mimoz et al., 2007; Garland et al., 2008; Birch et al., 2010; Cleminson et al., 2015; Puopolo and Escobar, 2014; Sanderson et al., 2017; Kramer et al., 2017; Rosenthal et al., 2010).

Table 2

Barriers, Challenges and Considerations for CLABSI Risk Reduction in Low and Middle Income Countries.

Measures to reduce CLABSI risk	Barriers/Challenges	Considerations/ Solutions
Establishing Surveillance system to reduction targets and identify areas of priority	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Complex case definitions • Limited laboratory capacities Staff shortages/ Inadequate number of skilled personnel for data management	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Training of lab staff • Introduction of lab quality systems <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Use of cheap technology (Smart phone-based tools/preprogrammed data analysis systems) • Online resources for data management (e.g. ISOS)
Implementing interventions to enhance preventive measures	Staff shortages/ Inadequate number of skilled personnel High patient turnover/ Overcrowding Limited resources (infrastructure, technology, medical supplies) Poor adherence to guidelines/Lack of written guidelines	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Support from management • Unit-based quality nurse to follow up • Assigning multispecialty intervention team • Ongoing in-service training for all staff involved <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Tailored interventions <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Checklists • Audit and feedback <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Relentless focus on education, process and outcomes feedback • Local training resources (e.g. peer tutoring) • Online training resources

(Geldenhuys et al, 2017; Assis et al., 2018; Ider et al., 2012; The Joint Commission, 2012).

barriers to wider adoption of this best practice intervention (Table 2). Indeed, in a 2019 report, 5 of 16 sites (27%) in middle-income countries reported no CLABSI prevention bundle use (Alp et al., 2019).

The challenges to reduce CLABSI in LMICs start with the surveillance, which is required to measure the baseline rates, identify priority hospital areas to direct the limited resources, and evaluate the effect of the intervention over time. Challenges include complex case definitions that depend on laboratory criteria for case confirmation, where many of these settings lack laboratory microbiological capacities for standard pathogen identification, in addition to the common clinical practices to obtain single drawing of blood for culture (i.e. solitary blood culture) to save resources, which minimize the amount of drawn blood leading to lower chances to yield the BSI pathogen (Lamy et al., 2016) and also do not allow for estimation of differential time to positivity of blood cultures. As a result, inaccurate rates of BSIs are usually reported. Training of laboratory staff and enforcing lab quality systems in addition to inclusion of clinically based definitions (e.g. the clinical sepsis) have been shown to improve the surveillance sensitivity, and support establishing surveillance programs (See et al., 2013; Talaat et al., 2016).

Another challenge is the availability of skilled staff and resources for data collection and analysis for baseline numbers in assessing infection prevention. For that, cheap technology such as smart phones-based data collection tools, and preprogrammed analysis and reporting tools can be developed to generate automated

standard infection reports (Talaat et al., 2016). Other settings may use online platforms for facilitated standardized data collection, entry, and analysis, as the INICC Surveillance Online System (ISOS) (Rosenthal, 2016). Additionally, surveillance approaches can be selected to match the limited human resources, as settings may choose to implement short-time, hospital-wide surveillance approach (e.g. repeated point prevalence surveys) to stratify the burden of BSI among hospital departments (Ben Ayed et al., 2019), whereas other settings utilize their limited resources for surveillance in high risk areas as the ICUs (Rosenthal et al., 2016) (Table 3).

During implementation of the preventive measures, limitations of human resources affect also the compliance with the prevention guidelines, as shown by a study in Jordan where the nurse to patient ratio of 1:1 was the only predictor of higher compliance with the guidelines (Aloush and Alsaraieh, 2018). Effective strategies may be used to overcome this challenge during interventions, including assigning a nurse as a unit-based quality nurse (Thom et al., 2014), or assembly of an intervention team of physician, infection control nurse, and ICU nurse to assess and follow up CLABSI cases (Hussain et al., 2017).

Prevention efforts should be focused on maximal aseptic insertion of catheters, hand hygiene and adequate dressing changes.

As highlighted by Rosenthal (2009), high CLABSI rates suggest that LMICs face significant barriers for CLABSI reduction including resources, appropriate medical supplies and adequate numbers of skilled personnel. Additional examples include inadequate and

Table 3

Intervention Results Using INICC Multidimensional Approach.

Country	Pre-Intervention Rate/1000 central line days	Post-Intervention Rate/1000 central line days	% Decrease	Reference
Argentina	46.63	11.10	76%	Rosenthal et al., 2003
Colombia	12.9	3.9	73%	Alvarez-Moreno et al., 2016
Mexico	46.3	19.5	58%	Higuera et al., 2005
Turkey	22.7	12.0	47%	Leblebicioglu et al., 2013
India	6.4	3.9	39%	Jaggi et al., 2013
Saudi Arabia	6.9	3.1	55%	Al-Abdely et al., 2017
15 countries	14.7	9.7	34%	Rosenthal et al., 2010
5 countries (Pediatric ICU)	10.7	5.2	51%	Rosenthal et al., 2012
4 countries (Pediatric ICU)	21.4	9.7	55%	Rosenthal et al., 2013
Argentina (ICU)	9.6	4.1	57%	Rosenthal et al., 2018

outdated technologies, lines used without sterile dressings, single dose vials used multiple times covered with contaminated tape, cotton balls impregnated with contaminated antiseptic solutions and semi-rigid plastic containers used for intravenous infusate preparation. Furthermore, general infection prevention gaps and breaches may contribute to high CLABSI rates e.g. lack of supplies such as barrier protections and antiseptics, lack of sinks for hand washing or access to alcohol based handrub, overcrowded surroundings and untrained personnel.

Implementation of central-line bundles has the potential to reduce the incidence of CLABSIs, as shown in a recent systematic review and meta-analysis (Ista et al., 2016). It is noteworthy that sustainable compliance with bundles involves the entire infection control team, and a change in their habits may be necessary, as well as continuous institutional support, updated educational interventions, and CLABSI surveillance data to improve patient care practices. In other words, to achieve steady, high levels of compliance with bundle elements, it is not sufficient to implement it as one measure, but rather within a multidimensional infection control approach.

INICC developed the INICC Multidimensional Approach, which is implemented through a Surveillance Online System (ISOS) software application, which includes: (1) a CLABSI prevention bundle, (2) education, (3) outcome surveillance (4) process surveillance, (5) feedback on CLABSI rates and consequences, and (6) performance feedback of process surveillance. As shown in the literature for developing countries and limited-resource hospital settings, the rate of CLABSI has been successfully reduced through the implementation of such multidimensional programs, which include insertion and maintenance bundles for the prevention of CLABSIs in critically ill patients of all ages, but also other interventions simultaneously (Rosenthal et al., 2010).

Effective interventions with the INICC multidimensional approach and ISOS were reported in ICUs from Argentina (46.63 vs. 11.10 CLABSIs per 1000 CL-days), showing a 76% reduction, and 57% reduction (incidence density rate: 0.43; 95% confidence interval, 0.34–0.6; $P < .001$) (Rosenthal et al., 2003); Colombia, showing a 73% CLABSI rate reduction (relative risk, 0.27; 95% confidence interval, 0.14–0.52; $P = .002$) (Alvarez-Moreno et al., 2016); Mexico (46.3 vs. 19.5 BSIs per 1000 IVD days) showing a 58% reduction (Higuera et al., 2005); Turkey (22.7 to 12.0 CLABSIs per 1000 CL-days), showing a 47% reduction (Leblebicioglu et al., 2013); India (6.4 CLABSIs to 3.9 CLABSIs per 1000 CL-days), showing a 39% reduction (Jaggi et al., 2013); and Saudi Arabia (6.9 to 3.1 per 1000 CL-days) (Al-Abdely et al., 2017). In multicentric studies conducted in adult ICUs (14.5 vs. 9.7 CLABSIs per 1000 CL-days) from 15 countries (Argentina, Turkey, Colombia, India, Mexico, Philippines, Brazil, Peru, El Salvador, Costa Rica, Cuba, Lebanon, Macedonia, Morocco, and Panama), showed a 33% reduction (Rosenthal et al., 2010); in pediatric ICUs from 5 countries (Colombia, India, Mexico, Philippines, and Turkey) (10.7 vs. 5.2 CLABSIs per 1000 CL-days), showed a 51% reduction (Rosenthal et al., 2012), and in NICUs from 4 countries (El Salvador, Mexico, Philippines, and Tunisia) showed a CLABSI rate decrease by 55%, from 21.4 per 1000 CL-days during phase 1 to 9.7 per 1000 CL-days during phase 2 (rate ratio, 0.45 [95% confidence interval, 0.33–0.63]) (Rosenthal et al., 2013).

In addition to the successful model of the INICC, other strategies showed significant impact in increasing staff compliance, and reduction of infection rates. Successful strategies included training and education of the staff through formal sessions of presentations and poster materials (Sahni et al., 2017), peer tutoring where nurses and staff share in preparing and provision of the educative materials (Park et al., 2017), or online provision of educative materials (Hassan, 2018).

Finally, as underscored by Alp and Rello (2019), implementation of these prevention techniques can be quite challenging. As presented by Alves et al. (2018), a “4E” approach can be useful:

Engagement of staff with a multi-disciplinary group with involvement of local champions and using peer networks;
Education with materials and sessions;
Execution with standard care processes with redundancy;
Evaluation with measurement of performance with feedback to staff.

Conflicts of interest

None.

Funding sources

None.

Ethical approval

None.

References

- Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality. Toolkit for Reducing Central Line-Associated Blood Stream Infections. Content last reviewed March 2018. Rockville, MD: Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality; 2018. . . [Accessed 19 February 2019] <http://www.ahrq.gov/professionals/education/curriculum-tools/clabsitools/index.html>.
- Al-Abdely HM, Alshehri AD, Rosenthal VD, Mohammed YK, Banjar W, Orellano PW, et al. Prospective multicentre study in intensive care units in five cities from the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia: Impact of the International Nosocomial Infection Control consortium (INICC) multidimensional approach on rates of central line-associated bloodstream infection. *J Infect Prev* 2017;18:25–34.
- Aloush SM, Alsaieh FA. Nurses' compliance with central line associated bloodstream infection prevention guidelines. *Saudi Med J* 2018;39:273–9.
- Alp E, Cookson B, Erdem H, Rello J, Survey Group. Infection control bundles in intensive care: an international cross-sectional survey in low- and middle-income countries. *J Hosp Infect* 2019;101:248–56.
- Alp E, Rello J. Implementation of infection control bundles in intensive care units: which parameters are applicable in low-to-middle income countries?. *J Hosp Infect* 2019;101:245–7.
- Alvarez-Moreno CA, Valderrama-Beltran SL, Rosenthal VD, Mojica-Carreno BE, Valderrama-Marquez IA, Matte-Cortes L, et al. Multicenter study in Colombia: Impact of a multidimensional International Nosocomial Infection Control Consortium (INICC) approach on central line-associated bloodstream infection rates. *Am J Infect Control* 2016;44:e235–41.
- Alves J, Pena-Lopez Y, Rojas JN, Campins M, Rello J. Can we achieve zero hospital-acquired pneumonia?. *Curr Treat Options Infect Dis* 2018;10:153–68.
- Assis DB, Madalosso G, Padoveze MC, Lobo RD, Oliveira MS, Boszczowski I, et al. Implementation of tailored interventions in a statewide programme to reduce central line-associated bloodstream infections. *J Hosp Infect* 2018;100:e163–8.
- Bell T, O'Grady NP. Prevention of central line-associated bloodstream infections. *Infect Dis Clin N Am* 2017;31:551–9.
- Ben Ayed H, Yaich S, Trigui M, Jemaa MB, Hmida MB, Karray R, et al. Prevalence and risk factors of health care-associated infections in a limited resources country: a cross-sectional study. *Am J Infect Control* 2019;. doi:<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ajic.2019.01.006> pii: S0196-6553(19)30049-5 [epub ahead of print].
- Birch P, Ogden S, Hewson M. A randomised, controlled trial of heparin in total parenteral nutrition to prevent sepsis associated with neonatal long lines: the Heparin in Long Line Total Parenteral Nutrition (HILLTOP) trial. *Arch Dis Child Fetal Neonatal Ed* 2010;95:F252–7.
- Bizzarro MJ, Sabo B, Noonan M, Bonfiglio MP, Northrup V, Diefenbach K, et al. A quality improvement initiative to reduce central line-associated bloodstream infections in a neonatal intensive care unit. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol* 2010;31:241–8.
- Blanco-Mavillard, Rodriguez-Calero MA, Castro-Sanchez E, Bannasar-Veny M, De Pedro-Gomez J. Appraising the quality standard underpinning international clinical practice for the selection and care of vascular access devices: a systematic review of reviews. *BMJ Open* 2018;8:e021040.
- Boucher HW. Partial oral therapy for osteomyelitis and endocarditis – Is it time?. *N Engl J Med* 2019;380:487–9.
- Bouzidi H, Emirian A, Marty A, Chachaty E, Laplanche A, Gachot B, et al. Differential time to positivity of central and peripheral blood cultures is inaccurate for the diagnosis of *Staphylococcus aureus* long-term catheter-related sepsis. *J Hosp Infect* 2018;99:192–9.
- Cleminson J, Austin N, McGuire W. Prophylactic systemic antifungal agents to prevent mortality and morbidity in very low birth weight infants. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2015;CD003478.

- Crnich CJ, Maki DG. The role of intravascular devices in sepsis. *Curr Infect Dis Rep* 2001;3:496–506.
- Devrim I, Yasar N, Isguder R, Ceylan G, Bayram N, Ozdamar N, et al. Clinical impact and cost-effectiveness of a central line bundle including split-septum and single-use prefilled flushing devices on central line-associated bloodstream infection rates in a pediatric intensive care unit. *Am J Infect Control* 2016;44:e125–128.
- Dicks KV, Lofgren E, Lewis SS, Moehring RW, Sexton DJ, Anderson DJ. A multicentre pragmatic interrupted time series analysis of chlorhexidine gluconate bathing in community hospital intensive care units. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol* 2016;37:791–7.
- Dubbink-Verheij GH, Bekker V, Pelsma ICM, van Zwet EW, Smits-Wintjens VEHJ, Steggerda SJ, et al. Bloodstream infection incidence of pediatric central venous catheters in neonates: a descriptive cohort study. *Front Pediatr* 2017;5:142.
- Frost SA, Alogoski MC, Metcalfe L, Lynch JM, Hunt L, Sanghavi R, et al. Chlorhexidine bathing and health care-associated infections among adult intensive care patients: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Crit Care* 2016;20:379.
- Garland JS, Alex CP, Sevalius JM, Murphy DM, Good MJ, Volberding AM, et al. Cohort study of the pathogenesis and molecular epidemiology of catheter-related bloodstream infection in neonates with peripherally inserted central venous catheters. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol* 2008;29:243–9.
- Geldenhuis C, Dramowski A, Jenkins A, Bekker A. Central-line-associated bloodstream infection in a resource-limited South African neonatal intensive care unit. *S Afr Med J* 2017;107:758–62.
- Han Z, Liang S, Marshall J. Current strategies for the prevention and management of central line-associated blood stream infections. *Infect Drug Resist* 2010;3:147–63.
- Hassan ZM. Improving knowledge and compliance with infection control standard precautions among undergraduate nursing students in Jordan. *Am J Infect Control* 2018;46:297–302.
- Helder O, van den Hoogen A, de Boer C, van Goudoever J, Verbon-Macielek M, Kornelisse R. Effectiveness of non-pharmacological interventions for the prevention of bloodstream infections in infants admitted to a neonatal intensive care unit: a systematic review. *Int J Nurs Stud* 2013;50:819–31.
- Higuera F, Rangel-Frausto MS, Rosenthal VD, Soto JM, Castanon J, Franco G, et al. Attributable cost and length of stay for patients with central venous catheter-associated bloodstream infection in Mexico City intensive care units: a prospective, matched analysis. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol* 2007;28:31–5.
- Higuera F, Rosenthal VD, Duarte P, Ruiz J, Franco G, Safdar N. The effect of process control on the incidence of central venous catheter-associated bloodstream infections and mortality in intensive care units in Mexico. *Crit Care Med* 2005;33:2022–7.
- Huang SS, Septimus E, Kleinman K, Moody J, Hickok J, Heim L, et al. Chlorhexidine versus routine bathing to prevent multi-drug-resistant organisms and all-cause bloodstream infections in general medical and surgical units (ABATE Infection trial): a cluster-randomised trial. *Lancet* 2019;393:1205–15.
- Hussain ASS, Ali SR, Ariff S, Arbah S, Demas S, Zeb J, et al. A protocol for quality improvement programme to reduce central line-associated bloodstream infections in NICU of low and middle income country. *BMJ Paediatr Open* 2017;1:e000008.
- Ider BE, Adams J, Morton A, Whitby M, Muugolog T, Lundeg G, et al. Using a checklist to identify barriers to compliance with evidence-based guidelines for central line management: a mixed methods study in Mongolia. *Int J Infect Dis* 2012;16:e551–7.
- Ista E, van der Hoven B, Kornelisse R, van der Starre C, Vos MC, Boersma E, et al. Effectiveness of insertion and maintenance bundles to prevent central-line-associated bloodstream infections in critically ill patients of all ages: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Lancet Infect Dis* 2016;16:724–34.
- Iversen K, Ihlemann N, Gill SU, Madsen T, Elming H, Jensen KT, et al. Partial oral versus intravenous antibiotic treatment of endocarditis. *N Engl J Med* 2019;380:415–24.
- Jaggi N, Rodrigues C, Rosenthal VD, Todi SK, Shah S, Saini N, et al. Impact of an international nosocomial infection control consortium multidimensional approach on central line-associated bloodstream infection rates in adult intensive care units in eight cities in India. *Int J Infect Dis* 2013;17:e1218–24.
- Jimenez A, Barrera A, Madhivanan P. Systematic review on impact of disinfectant caps protectors for intravenous access ports on central line-associated bloodstream infections (CLABSI). *Open Forum Infect Dis* 2015;2(Suppl. 1):281.
- Kramer RD, Rogers MA, Conte M, Mann J, Saint S, Chopra V, et al. Are antimicrobial peripherally inserted central catheters associated with reduction in central line-associated bloodstream infection? A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Am J Infect Control* 2017;45:108–14.
- Lamy B, Dargere S, Arendrup MC, Parienti JJ, Tattetvin P. How to optimize the use of blood cultures for the diagnosis of bloodstream infection? A State-of-the-Art. *Front Microbiol* 2016;7:697.
- Leblebicioglu H, Ozturk R, Rosenthal VD, Akan OA, Sirmatel F, Ozdemir D, et al. Impact of a multidimensional infection control approach on central line-associated bloodstream infections rates in adult intensive care units of 8 cities of Turkey: findings of the International Nosocomial Infection Control Consortium (INICC). *Ann Clin Microbiol Antimicrob* 2013;12:10.
- Lee KH, Cho NH, Jeong SJ, Kim MN, Han SH, Song YG. Effect of central line bundle compliance on central line-associated blood stream infections. *Yonsei Med J* 2018;59:376–82.
- Leighton P, Cortina-Borja M, Millar M, Kempley S, Gilbert R. A toolkit for monitoring hospital-acquired bloodstream infection in neonatal intensive care. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol* 2012;33:831–6.
- Li H-K, Rombach I, Zambellas R, Walker AS, McNally MA, Atkins BL, et al. Oral versus intravenous antibiotics for bone and joint infection. *N Engl J Med* 2019;380:425–36.
- Ling ML, Apisarnthanarak A, Jaggi N, Harrington G, Morikane K, Thu LTA, et al. APSCIC guide for prevention of central line associated bloodstream infections (CLABSI). *Antimicrob Resist Infect Control* 2016;5:16.
- Maki DG, Rosenthal VD, Salomao R, Franzetti F, Rangel-Frausto MS. Impact of switching from an open to a closed infusion system on rates of central line-associated bloodstream infection: a meta-analysis of time-sequence cohort studies in 4 countries. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol* 2011;32:50–8.
- Marshall J, Mermel LA, Fakih M, Hadaway L, Kallen A, O'Grady NP, et al. Strategies to prevent central line-associated bloodstream infections in acute care hospitals: 2014 update. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol* 2014;35(Suppl. 2):S89–S107.
- Marwick C, Davey P. Care bundles: the holy grail of infectious risk management in hospital?. *Curr Opin Infect Dis* 2009;22:364–9.
- Mermel LA, Allon M, Bouza E, Craven DE, Flynn P, O'Grady NP, et al. Clinical practice guidelines for the diagnosis and management of intravascular catheter-related infection: 2009 update by the Infectious Diseases Society of America. *Clin Infect Dis* 2009;49:1–45.
- Mimoz O, Villeminey S, Ragot S, Dahyot-Fizelier C, Laksiri L, Petitpas F, et al. Chlorhexidine-based antiseptic solution vs alcohol-based povidone-iodine for central venous catheter care. *Arch Intern Med* 2007;167:2066–72.
- Park S-W, Ko S, An H-S, Bang JH, Chung W-Y. Implementation of central line-associated bloodstream infection prevention bundles in a surgical intensive care unit using peer tutoring. *Antimicrob Resist Infect Control* 2017;6:103.
- Payne V, Hall M, Prieto J, Johnson M. Care bundles to reduce central line-associated bloodstream infections in the neonatal unit: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Arch Dis Child Fetal Neonatal Ed* 2018;103:F422–9.
- Perin DC, Erdmann AL, Higashi GD, Sasso GT. Evidence-based measures to prevent central line-associated bloodstream infections: a systematic review. *Rev Lat Am Enfermagem* 2016;24:e2787–e2787.
- Pronovost P. Interventions to decrease catheter-related bloodstream infections in the ICU: the Keystone Intensive Care Unit Project. *Am J Infect Control* 2008;36:S171.e1–e5.
- Puopolo KP, Escobar GE. Neonatal sepsis. In: Polin RA, Yoder MC, editors. *Workbook in practical neonatology*. New York, NY: Saunders; 2014.
- Rosenthal VD. Central line-associated bloodstream infections in limited-resource countries: a review of the literature. *Clin Infect Dis* 2009;49:1899–907.
- Rosenthal VD. International Nosocomial Infection Control consortium (INICC) resources: INICC multidimensional approach and INICC surveillance online system. *Am J Infect Control* 2016;44:e81–90.
- Rosenthal VD, Al-Abdely HM, El-Kholy AA, Alkhwaja SAA, Leblebicioglu H, Mehta Y, et al. International Nosocomial Infection Control Consortium report, data summary of 50 countries for 2010–2015: Device-associated module. *Am J Infect Control* 2016;44:1495–504.
- Rosenthal VD, Desse J, Maurizi DM, Chaparro GJ, Orellano PW, Chediack V, et al. Impact of the International Nosocomial Infection Control Consortium (INICC)'s multidimensional approach on rates of central line-associated bloodstream infection in 14 Intensive Care Units in 11 hospitals of 5 cities in Argentina. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol* 2018;12:1–7.
- Rosenthal VD, Duenas L, Sobreira-Oropeza M, Anmar K, Navoa-Ng JA, de Casares AC, et al. Findings of the International Nosocomial Infection Control Consortium (INICC), part III: effectiveness of a multidimensional infection control approach to reduce central line-associated bloodstream infections in the neonatal intensive care units of 4 developing countries. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol* 2013;34:229–37.
- Rosenthal VD, Guzman S, Migone O, Crnich CJ. The attributable cost, length of hospital stay, and mortality of central line-associated bloodstream infection in intensive care departments in Argentina: a prospective, matched analysis. *Am J Infect Control* 2003;31:475–80.
- Rosenthal VD, Maki DG, Rodriguez C, Alvarez-Moreno C, Leblebicioglu H, Sobreira-Oropeza M, et al. Impact of International Infection Control Consortium (INICC) strategy on central line-associated infection rates in the intensive care units of 15 developing countries. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol* 2010;31:1264–72.
- Rosenthal VD, Ramachandran B, Villamil-Gomez W, Armas-Ruiz A, Navoa-Ng JA, Matta-Cortes L, et al. Impact of a multidimensional infection control strategy on central line-associated bloodstream infection rates in pediatric intensive care units of five developing countries: findings of the International Nosocomial Infection Control Consortium (INICC). *Infection* 2012;40:415–23.
- Rosenthal VD, Udawadia FE, Kumar S, Kavathekar M, Sakle A, Munshi N, et al. Clinical impact and cost-effectiveness of split-septum and single-use prefilled flushing device vs 3-way stopcock on central line-associated bloodstream infection rates in India: a randomized clinical trial conducted by the International Nosocomial Infection Control Consortium (INICC). *Am J Infect Control* 2015;43:1040–5.
- Sahni N, Biswal M, Gandhi K, Kaur K, Saini V, Yaddanapudi LN. Effect of intensive education and training of nurses on ventilator-associated pneumonia and central line-associated bloodstream infection incidence in intensive care unit at a tertiary care center in North India. *Indian J Crit Care Med* 2017;21:779–82.
- Sanderson E, Yeo KT, Wang AY, Callander I, Bajuk B, Bolisetty S, et al. Dwell time and risk of central-line-associated bloodstream infection in neonates. *J Hosp Infect* 2017;97:267–74.
- See I, Lessa FC, Elata OA, Hafez S, Samy K, El-Kholy A, et al. Incidence and pathogen distribution of healthcare-associated infections in pilot hospitals in Egypt. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol* 2013;34:1281–8.

- Stoll BJ, Hansen NI, Adams-Chapman I, Fanaroff AA, Hintz SR, Vohr B, et al. Neurodevelopmental and growth impairment among extremely low-birth-weight infants with neonatal infection. *JAMA* 2004;292:2357–65.
- Tarricone R, Torbica A, Franzetti F, Rosenthal VD. Hospital costs of central line-associated bloodstream infections and cost-effectiveness of closed vs. open infusion containers. The case of Intensive Care Units in Italy. *Cost Eff Resour Alloc* 2010;8:8.
- Talaat M, El-Shokry M, El-Kholy J, Ismail G, Kotb S, Hafez S, et al. National surveillance of health care-associated infections in Egypt: Developing a sustainable program in a resource-limited country. *Am J Infect Control* 2016;44:1296–301.
- The Joint Commission. Preventing central line-associated bloodstream infection: a global challenge, a global perspective. Oak Brook, IL; Joint commission Resources. 2012.
- The Joint Commission. CLABSI Toolkit — preventing central-line associated bloodstream infections: useful tools, an international perspective. 2016. . . [Accessed 18 February 2019] <http://www.jointcommission.org/topics/clabsi-toolkit.aspx>.
- Thom KA, Li S, Custer M, Preas MA, Rew CD, Cafeo C, et al. Successful implementation of a unit-based quality nurse to reduce central line-associated bloodstream infections. *Am J Infect Control* 2014;42:139–43.
- Valencia C, Hammami N, Agodi A, Lepape A, Herrejon EP, Blot S, et al. Poor adherence to guidelines for preventing central line-associated bloodstream infections (CLABSI): results of a worldwide survey. *Antimicrob Resist Infect Control* 2016;5:49.
- Verstraete E, Boelens J, De Coen K, Claeys G, Vogelaers D, Vanhaesebrouck P, et al. Healthcare-associated bloodstream infections in a neonatal intensive care unit over a 20-year period (1992–2011): trends in incidence, pathogens, and mortality. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol* 2014;35:511–8.
- Wirtschafter DD, Pettit J, Kurtin P, Dalsey M, Chance K, Morrow HW, et al. A statewide quality improvement collaborative to reduce neonatal central line-associated blood stream infections. *J Perinatol* 2010;30:170–81.
- Ziegler MJ, Pellegrini DC, Safdar N. Attributable mortality of central line associated bloodstream infection: systematic review and meta-analysis. *Infection* 2014;43:29–36.